Mint of the United States at Philadelphia, Pa.,

Mar. 31 , 1888.

How. D. M. Hop, ) Superintendant?

Die: Refiring to a manifest earor in Munding Gold Granofor Letters at the trepartiaent, and the

delay in verifying eigher despotates on the 49th int, I have to

That the despatch in question makes no mention of France Letter No. 286 /2, hence the letter of advice does not conserfued with the despatch either in No. of Letter on in date. Also, that no despetch having test word 208 yethe code has been served in the Cashier, who has no record, and Current caucal it on the open dixit of a subordinate. The sufflementary destately of the 29th says that the test word was used in a despotch of the zyth; but no despatch of that day is many files.

Very Respectfully Althout

Mint of the United States at Philadelphia, Pa., Superintendent's Office, March 31, 1888

Hon. D.M. Fox, Superintendent

Sir:

Referring to a manifest error in receiving Gold Transfer Letters at the Department, and the delay in verifying cipher dispatches on the 29th inst., I have to say:

That the dispatch in question makes no mention of Transfer Letter No. 286 ½, hence the letter of advice does not compare with the dispatch either in No. of Letter or in date. Also, that no dispatch having test word #208 of the code has been served on the Cashier, who has no record, and cannot cancel it on the ipse dixit of a subordinate. The supplementary dispatch of the 29th says that the test word was used in a dispatch of the 27th; but no dispatch of that day is in my files.

Very Respectfully M.H. Cobb Cash.